Interview Summary	Application No. 10/083,492	Applic (s)		
	Examiner Christopher Bo	ttorff	Art Unit 3618	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):			
(1) Christopher Bottorff, Patent Examiner	(3)			
(2) James Rowland, Attorney For Applicant	>			
Date of Interview Apr 15, 2003				
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted. 47 Tes	e/22 No. 11 yes, bi	·		
				~
Claim(s) discussed: Chms 1, 6, 4, 5, 10, 11,	, 18 and 19			
Identification of prior art discussed:  Keller et al.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•		
threads and the plake well of that keller et al. satisfied the because of the size difference and the holes of keller et al. the mi. Romand reliterated his objects but the examiner did not agree	I nature of what was  Plation ship  Lis cussed. The  Se limitations.  In the heles  limitations who he re  proposed where  then to the di  dments which the ex	agreed to in the five in the first hat in the country comments agree agreements agree agre	f an agreement was  the scre- iner printer  iner printer  whe present  satisfied. A  we been receive  so with draw	on to the to the to peragraph, went and and the claims
available, a summary thereof must be attached.)				
i) X It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa				
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORM INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MP already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record	PEP section 713.04). OM THIS INTERVIEW	If a reply to DATE TO	o the last Office ac FILE A STATEMEN	ction has IT OF THE

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required